

Lacawac was then touched by another remarkable man, Colonel Louis A. Watres, a major figure in Scranton for 50 years, who went to work after completing the fourth grade. He continued to educate himself throughout his life. He clerked for Judge John Handley, read for the bar and established himself in practice. He also pursued a successful political career as County Solicitor, State Senator, Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania, and two-time Republican nominee for Governor. He quickly rose through the ranks of the Pennsylvania National Guard to become colonel of the 11th Regiment during the Spanish American War. Colonel Watres organized the Spring Brook Water Company which became part of the Pennsylvania Gas and Water Company. It was a Wallenpaupack dam project that made it necessary to acquire the Connell property.

Colonel Watres' two grandchildren visited Lacawac for an occasional picnic or weekend over the years. The awesome natural beauty of Lacawac appealed to Arthur Watres, and he moved there with his recently-widowed mother, Mrs. Reyburn Watres, in 1948.

The entrance road was almost impassable. The dock had collapsed into the lake. The roof of every building leaked. The screening was gone. The staining of the shingles and painting of trim had been neglected for two decades. Porches and sills were riddled with termites and timber ants.

The Watreses joined the Nature Conservancy. At the suggestion of Dr. Richard Pough, that organization's first president, they arranged for scientists from the Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences to visit Lacawac. At that time, Lacawac was found to be the southernmost unpolluted glacial lake in the United States and an ideal baseline lake for research.

The Watreses formed the Lacawac Sanctuary Foundation in 1966, and turned over the lake, most of the infrastructure and much of the land to the Foundation. After many difficult years, the board was reorganized in 1990 and the relationship with the Lehigh University Earth and Environmental Sciences Department was formalized.

Lacawac lies within 100 miles of 140 institutions of higher learning, and the Lacawac Sanctuary Foundation is committed to drawing to this beautiful, natural laboratory a strong and significant scientific community to work for the benefit of mankind.

Mr. Speaker, we are all richer for the natural beauty around us. Thanks to the foresight of the Watreses, the magnificence of Lacawac continues both to inspire the love of our region's natural beauty and to encourage responsible scientific and personal stewardship of the land.

HONORING NANCY J. SCHILLING

HON. JERRY F. COSTELLO

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, October 10, 1998

Mr. COSTELLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Nancy J. Schilling, a dedicated civil servant in Evansville, Illinois, in my Congressional district.

Nancy serves as the city clerk for the village of Evansville and as the Randolph County

Civil Defense Director. While her husband, Danny and two children, Roxie and Ryan have always known what a great wife and mother they have, Evansville has been equally blessed in benefiting from Nancy's dedication to her community.

During the flood of 1993, the citizens of Evansville realized just how fortunate they were to have Nancy Schilling as the city clerk. At a time when Evansville was under great strain facing the damage from the flood, she became the organizing force in rebuilding the community. Nancy coordinated efforts with the National Guard, Army Guard, and Coast Guard to assist in a rapid response flood relief plan. She was also instrumental in securing state and federal grant money to provide critical additional support for southern Illinois.

What is most notable about Nancy Schilling is her willingness to meet any challenge presented to her with a friendly smile and determined spirit. Evansville recently recognized her as their Citizen of the Year. I commend Nancy for this well-deserved tribute, honoring her integrity, compassion, and outstanding commitment to Evansville.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing Nancy Schilling for the fine example she has set for us all.

IN HONOR OF JULIE MOSES

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, October 10, 1998

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to extend my thanks to Julie Moses, an American Association for the Advancement of Science legislative fellow who has worked diligently in my office for the past year. I am grateful for her outstanding efforts on my behalf.

Her invaluable contribution in staffing hearings, writing letters, undertaking legislative research and her particular expertise in space and technology related issues proved that my confidence in her was well placed. I echo the sentiments of my entire staff in expressing that she proved more than capable in the face of this challenging work. The professionalism, determination, and drive that she demonstrated in her time with us is much appreciated.

I hope that she learned as much in working with us as we learned from the experience of working with her. I wish to thank her again for being an important part of our collective success. I wish her luck in all her future endeavors.

LITTLE ROCK NINE MEDALS AND COINS ACT

SPEECH OF

HON. HAROLD E. FORD, JR.

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, October 9, 1998

Mr. FORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 2560, a bill to award the Congressional Medal of Honor to Jean Brown Trickey, Carlotta Walls Lanier, Melba Patillo Beals, Terrence Roberts, Gloria Ray Karlmark, Thel-

ma Mothershed Wair, Ernest Green, Elizabeth Eckford, and Jefferson Thomas—better known to the nation as the Little Rock Nine.

When I read, hear, and think about the personal sacrifices that these young men and women were forced to make in the struggle to give real meaning to our nation's founding principles of freedom, opportunity, liberty, equality, and justice for all, I am humbled and forever thankful.

With a display of honor, dignity, and integrity well beyond their years, each one of these pioneers for progress endured and overcame unthinkable emotional, verbal, and physical abuse as they fought to breakdown an entire nation's legacy of prejudice and racial hatred at the schoolhouse door.

Mr. Speaker, as we seek to begin paying America's debt of gratitude to these heroes and heroines—known as the Little Rock Nine—by awarding them the Congressional Medal of Honor, let us not forget that we stand on the brink of a new millennium with the chance to learn from the lessons and legacies of our past and contemplate the challenges and choices that lie ahead.

As we recognize the contributions of the Little Rock Nine and consider how their lives have made the future brighter for today's young people, I am reminded of the words of Dr. Martin Luther King, that: "we are all caught in an inescapable network of mutuality, tied in a single garment of destiny. What affects one directly affects all indirectly." Mr. Speaker, bearing in mind this undeniable principle, I believe if America is to fulfill the legacy of the Little Rock Nine and move from what has been in the 20th century to what can be in the new millennium, then—as a nation—we must strive to acknowledge, embrace, and realize our diversity to its fullest.

OMNIBUS NATIONAL PARKS AND PUBLIC LANDS ACT OF 1998

SPEECH OF

HON. LOUIS STOKES

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, October 9, 1998

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4570) to provide for certain boundary adjustments and conveyances involving public lands, to establish and improve the management of certain heritage areas, historic areas, National Parks, wild and scenic rivers, and national trails, to protect communities by reducing hazardous fuels levels on public lands, and for other purposes:

Mr. STOKES. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong opposition to H.R. 4570, the Omnibus National Parks and Public Lands Act of 1998. This compilation of many separate bills contains provisions which waive current environmental protections, provide subsidies and benefits to exclusive special interests, and undermine protections for national parks and public lands.

Due to the many destructive environmental provisions contained in this measure, opposition remains truly bipartisan in nature, with groups ranging from the League of Conservation Voters, to Taxpayers for Common Sense expressing their disapproval.

While many provisions contained in this measure enjoy broad support from the administration and Members alike, this omnibus

measure includes many "poison pill" sections which were assured to fail individually. The administration continues to oppose provisions, contained in H.R. 4570, which would endanger our Nation's natural resources. The President has indicated that he will veto the measure in its current form.

I am concerned that the majority has chosen not to provide, sufficient opportunity to remedy and find consensus among Members regarding the deficiencies contained in this bill. In fact, there are seventeen provisions within this measure which have never been heard or taken up before the Committee on Resources. An additional forty-eight have yet to be reported out of committee. However, the bill's sponsors have chosen to combine these provisions without opportunity for and the benefit of debate or amendment. Such heavy handed and partisan tactics espouse the worst qualities of legislating in a politically motivated environment.

I take particular exception to several sections included in this bill. For example, I object to efforts which hinder Presidential authority, as granted under the Antiquities Act, to protect our most significant and valuable natural resources on Federal lands. Also, I am opposed to efforts to accelerate timber harvesting on Federal lands in the name of "forestry management."

In addition to circumventing the environmental review process under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), this section does not allow for careful and prudent planning for timber harvesting. Further, it creates additional timber subsidies through a new credit program established for loggers. Such "poison pill" sections in this omnibus measure need to be addressed on a singular basis without hindering the passage of other non-controversial provisions.

Mr. Chairman, while I support many of the provisions contained in this omnibus act, I cannot support them with the many more environmentally adverse sections contained in this bill. Until such adverse provisions are removed from this bill, I will urge my colleagues to vote against H.R. 4570, while continuing to work toward enactment of a bill that is responsive to the needs of our national parks and public lands.

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR,
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,
AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED
AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS
ACT, 1999

SPEECH OF

HON. BRUCE F. VENTO

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 8, 1998

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4274) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes:

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in strong opposition to the Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1999. This

legislation essentially denies the weakest and most vulnerable of our nation's citizens important programs which provide positive opportunities to succeed in life. It shortchanges the youth of our nation by virtually eliminating the Administration's education agenda, subjects millions of America's most vulnerable families to hardships with the elimination of LIHEAP, dismantles common sense programs that help young people prepare for the world of work; and severely undercuts funding for programs which tackle labor issues such as adequate wages, organizing rights, worker health and safety enforcement.

As a former educator, I am a strong supporter of programs that invest in our nation's children. Education is the most important investment we can make to ensure the welfare of our nation's future. Our public schools face enormous challenges in the next several years, including record high numbers of students, increasing proportions of students with disabilities, billions of dollars in unmet infrastructure needs and the challenge of making education technology available to all students. To often I must report that as public schools struggle critics make their task more difficult rather than offer the resources. This irresponsible appropriation clearly ignores the fact that education has consistently been rated as a top priority of our constituents—it is almost impossible to list all of the negative provisions included, but let me highlight, some of the "low-lights". The Republican bill eliminates Title I reading and math assistance for 520,000 disadvantaged students; eliminates Perkins college loans and Byrd Scholarships for 120,000 students, cuts \$300 million from Goals 2000 and Eisenhower teacher training programs and turns them into block grants; and cuts funding for drug and violence prevention coordinators at 6,500 middle schools. It cuts funding for the School-to-Work program by \$250 million, eliminates funding for Star Schools, thereby shutting down innovative programs for using technology and telecommunications equipment in the classroom in low-income school districts. This Republican effort will withdraw funding for the Summer Youth Employment and Training program and will prevent over 530,000 young Americans from gaining work experience and learning the valuable work ethics.

Proponents of this bill gloss over and ignore these drastic cuts in education and will instead applaud the needed and provided increases for Pell Grants, TRIO, Impact Aid and Special education. However, the bill provides only a \$537 million, or 1.8% increase in program levels for the department of education—a figure which falls substantially below the 2.2% inflation rate projected for FY 99, so we are going backwards.

But that's not all. This bill doesn't just target the youth of our Nation to accept far less. H.R. 4247 is extreme in its disregard for the protection of our workforce. It provides inadequate funding for federal laws which protect their health and safety, and their right of workers to organize and bargain collectively. In addition, this bill ignores the growing need for highly skilled workers, cutting, nearly in half, the number of people who can participate in employment and training programs. This continued attack upon America's labor force and the extreme underfunding of principal programs which protect workers' wages, pensions, and equal opportunity rights is truly a slap in the face to the working families of America.

Finally, I am disappointed with this measure's elimination of funding for the Low-Income Housing Energy Assistance Program, or LIHEAP. LIHEAP provides heating and cooling assistance to 4.3 million low-income households by way of nurturing an effective funding partnership with all levels of government and the private sector. This is a crucial need in cold weather states such as Minnesota.

You don't have to be a meteorologist, scientist or environmentalist to notice the weather patterns in the past few years. Most Minnesotans are familiar with the extremes in weather-related conditions: dangerous winter temperatures down to 30 degrees below zero combined with even more frigid arctic windchills, producing advisory warnings against stepping outside with exposed skin for more than five minutes. We Minnesotans in turn sympathize with Texans this past summer, where at least 79 people died due to heat-related illnesses during the long, 100-plus degree heatwave. These extremes in temperatures translate into unpredictable energy bills for everyone, but have particularly dire consequences for individuals struggling on a limited income, and disparities of income have persisted and compound this program zero funding policy path.

It is estimated that the average American household spends 6.8 percent of its income on energy bills during the most expensive heating and cooling seasons. A low-income household spends an average of 17.4%, and sometimes up to 30%. That's at least two and a half times the average burden. We're talking about the poor elderly, children, low-income single parents—persons already hit with the struggles of welfare-to-work and cuts in Medicare coverage.

Yet in the wake of tornadoes, floods, hurricanes, and other natural disasters, the Republican leadership has seized upon this opportunity to create a battle between underserved populations. The Labor-HHS-Education bill justifies taking money out of LIHEAP to pay for an increase in our nation's medical research program. While I understand the importance of advancements in medical research, robbing Peter to pay Paul does not alleviate the long-term health, nutrition and safety problems caused by placing low-income individuals in between a rock and a hard place, forcing them to decide whether to heat or eat. Energy assistance is one of the simplest and most effective ways of preventing individuals from having to make that choice. Should we really expect the poorest of the poor, the working poor to be the qualitative cut that will help us fight the great ills that have faced mankind through the ages.

I urge my colleagues to express their commitment to a more preventive approach to meeting the needs of underserved populations. Vote no on the current Labor-HHS-Education appropriations package.

SONNY BONO COPYRIGHT TERM
EXTENSION ACT

SPEECH OF

HON. JOE SCARBOROUGH

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, October 7, 1998

Mr. SCARBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Title I of S. 505, the Copyright